BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Ismael Villegas Molina Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 8A - Intro to Programming 1 (Salguero, Adrian)
Summer Session 1 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 21 Number of Students Enrolled: 37

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

3 (14.3%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

16 (76.2%): Strongly Agree

2 (9.5%): Agree

2 (9.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

2 (9.5%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (4.8%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (9.5%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

2 (9.5%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (4.8%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (9.5%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (4.8%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

2 (9.5%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

18 (85.7%): Strongly Agree

2 (9.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

17 (81.0%): Strongly Agree

3 (14.3%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

15 (75.0%): Strongly Agree

3 (15.0%): Agree

1 (5.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (5.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

19 (95.0%): No issues 0 (0.0%): Too quiet 0 (0.0%): Too loud 1 (5.0%): Too fast 0 (0.0%): Too slow

0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills

0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"

0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

18 (85.7%): Strongly Agree

1 (4.8%): Agree

1 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (4.8%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

- 13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

 Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- Explaining the topics so easily that you feel less daunting trying to do the tasks
- explains things well and is patient
- He is very personable and does a great job at keeping students not only entertained but engaged in the material.
- He really interacted with the students and was there to help us out whenever. Made us feel that we beling in that class and that we could succeed, good at oresenting material, good at everything honestly.
- Knows how to break down a topic and teach it to people who could have no clue while at the same time not boring students who already know about it. Always has something new to help everyone with
- They are incredibly engaged with students, they do truly care about the student learning the concepts and are able to tailor their method of explaining to the student to make sure they truly understand. They will not give up on students understanding the concept, even if it means staying to help the student beyond the allocated time for office hours.
- Very good communication
- Very good explanations
 Excellent attitude
 Always willing to help and cares about student learning
- You can tell that he cares about the subject and wants to see students do well
- 14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant. Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- -
- He works too hard
- yes
- 15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

 Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- -
- hint he gave guite complicated
- No Ismael was a wonderful TA and deserves the best in life.

- This teaching staff member would shine being a principal instructor on CSE8A or other introductory computer science class. Additionally, he would be a phenomenal university representative to reach out to LatinX communities in San Diego and other parts of southern california to encourage them to engage with computer science at UC San Diego.
- yes

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.